

Energy expenditure for mouthbrooding in a cichlid fish

Wolfgang Mrowka and Bernd Schierwater

Zoologisches Institut der Technischen Universität Braunschweig,
Pockelsstraße 10a, D-3300 Braunschweig, Federal Republic of Germany

Received September 16, 1987/Accepted November 20, 1987

Summary. Energy costs of mouthbrooding were investigated in the East African maternal mouthbrooder *Pseudocrenilabrus multicolor* by measuring rates of oxygen consumption. Mothers with their brood in the mouth expended 15.7% more energy than mothers without their brood and 13.8% more than starving nonreproductive controls. After subtracting the energy expenditure of the brood, the excess is reduced to 4.7% and 3.2%, respectively, a difference that is not statistically significant. By contrast, feeding nonreproductive females expended more than twice as much energy as the other groups. We conclude that mouthbrooding is a low-cost strategy profiting from investments made during the preceding nonreproductive phase. The implications for the evolution of mouthbrooding are discussed within the context of ecological constraints.

Introduction

Mouthbrooding occurs in at least 8 families and 53 genera of teleost fish (Oppenheimer 1970) including several hundred species of cichlids (Fryer and Iles 1972; Keenleyside 1979). Since energy expenditure is presumed to be an important determinant of lifetime reproductive success, we investigated in the East African cichlid *Pseudocrenilabrus multicolor* how costly mouthbrooding is in terms of energy.

P. multicolor dominates the fish fauna of small and shallow waters around Lake Victoria. During spawning the female takes 20–100 eggs into her mouth and subsequently carries them there continuously for 10–11 days at 27°C. During this period no food is consumed. Hatching occurs 4 days after spawning. After 10–11 days the young, having re-

sorbed their yolk, are released from the mouth thereby enabling their mother to resume feeding. After a further 10–30 days, depending on the amount of food ingested, a new brooding cycle starts. In the laboratory females become reproductively mature at 3–4 months, reach an age of at least 18 months, and go through up to 15 brooding cycles in their life. For further details see Peters (1937), Reinboth (1956), Wickler (1962), and Mrowka (1982, 1987).

We determined rates of oxygen consumption (V_{O_2}) as an estimate of energy expenditure (Brett and Groves 1979). The procedure used is based on data relating to general aspects of energy metabolism in this species (Schierwater and Mrowka 1987).

Methods

The experimental fishes were kept at 27°C at a photoperiod of LD 12:12. Their ages varied from 5 to 8 months and their weights ranged from 0.7 to 1.6 g (mean \pm SD = 1.07 g \pm 0.236, $n=53$). Spawning dates were recorded for each fish. One day before testing each fish was individually isolated in a jar with a volume of 1.2 l. The measurements were taken over a period of 4–6 h. Oxygen concentration was determined with an oxygen electrode (WTW OXI 530). Details of culture conditions and measuring procedures are described elsewhere (Schierwater and Mrowka 1987).

To determine the energetic demand of mouthbrooding at various points in time (ranging from 1 to 10 days after spawning), mouthbrooding females were tested twice on two consecutive days, once with their brood in the mouth (BR +), once without it (BR -). The sequence was chosen randomly for each fish (BR+/BR-, $n=11$; BR-/BR+, $n=13$). For the procedures of brood removal and brood adoption see Mrowka (1985).

Two types of nonreproductive females (i.e. females between two brooding cycles) served as controls. These were CS fish ($n=19$) who were deprived of food for 2–10 days (reflecting the same variation as in BR+ and BR-), and CF fish ($n=10$) who were fed ad lib. up to the beginning of the experiment.

The weights of the females were always determined in the absence of a brood at the end of each measurement. In BR+

crease of the shift weight had the allomimetic bond into body weight at fertilization of release of larvae feeding follows where it is oxygen follows

Discussion

made for blood size = 25.9 ± 12.0

NO

II

BR(+)

Table 2. *P*, well-fecundity rates (MR⁺), with the same rates (MR⁻) and different oxygen consumption in the mouth (blood size = 25.1 ± 12.0) calculated on the basis of the regression curve from Fig. 1.

* $P < 0.05$.

CF, well-fecundity

BR⁺, with the same

rates (MR⁻)

BR⁻

BR⁺

BR⁻

BR^{+</}

Table 1. Oxygen consumption rates (V_{O_2}) and metabolic rates (MR) in mouthbrooding females

Test group	<i>n</i>	exponent	V_{O_2} mg/g ^{exp} · h means ± SD	MR mW/g ^{exp} · h means ± 95% CL	Results of Duncan tests
BR +	24	0.64	0.397 ± 0.066	1.56 ± 0.10	[] *
BR(+)	24	0.76	0.36 ± 0.069	1.41 ± 0.11	[] *
BR -	24	0.59	0.343 ± 0.051	1.34 ± 0.08	[] *
CS	19	0.67	0.349 ± 0.074	1.37 ± 0.13	
CF	10	0.74	0.83 ± 0.121	3.25 ± 0.29	**

BR +, with brood in the mouth; BR(+), BR + minus V_{O_2} or MR of the brood; BR -, without brood; CS, starved controls; CF, well-fed controls

* $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.001$ (compared with all other groups)

Table 2. Product-moment correlations between the metabolic rates (MR) of mothers with brood in the mouth (BR +), of the same mothers after subtracting the V_{O_2} of the brood (BR(+)), time after spawning (TI) and number of offspring present in the mouth (NO). In parentheses: level of significance $P <$ (two-tailed). $N = 24$

	BR +	BR(+)	TI
BR(+)	0.90 (0.001)		
TI	-0.25 (0.24)	-0.52 (0.01)	
NO	0.30 (0.16)	0.16 (0.44)	-0.41 (0.05)

brood size declined only from 26.5 ± 12.9 to 25.9 ± 12.8 ($N = 10$). Thus, no corrections were made for this.

Discussion

Oxygen uptake in fish eggs and larvae generally follows the exponential relationship $V_{O_2} = ae^{kt}$, where t is time and a and k are constants, depending largely on environmental conditions and age (Devillers 1965; Blaxter 1969). In *P. multicolor* the larvae feed exclusively on their yolk up to the time of release from the mouth (10 days at 27°C). Wet weight increases from approximately 2 mg (eggs at fertilization) to 4–5 mg after resorption of the yolk, presumably as a result of increased water content due to the conversion of yolk materials into body tissues. According to Winberg (1960) the allometric relationship between V_{O_2} and body weight holds also for larval metabolism. Therefore the shift after 4–5 days to a lower exponential increase of V_{O_2} might relate to an obvious increase

in body weight during this period. However, this explanation remains to be tested.

After eliminating the energy expenditure of the brood in the mouth, the remaining additional costs of mouthbrooding, if any, are minimal. They could relate to enhanced ventilation and to an intermittent behavioural action called "churning" or "chewing" leading to a turnover of the brood in the mouth (Shaw and Aronson 1954; Oppenheimer and Barlow 1968; Timms and Keenleyside 1975). The increase of the offspring's oxygen consumption with age is compensated by a decrease in brood size and a decrease in the mother's own consumption in the course of time after spawning. The latter may be explained by the observation that in the mouthbrooding cichlids *Tilapia melanostheron* (Oppenheimer and Barlow 1968) and *Aequidens paraguayensis* (Timms and Keenleyside 1975; Keenleyside 1979), after hatching churning decreases drastically, presumably because the offspring are increasingly less dependent on parental churning for respiration. Preliminary observations in *P. multicolor* point in the same direction.

Whereas the direct energetic costs of the particular behavioural pattern of mouthbrooding seem to be negligible, considerable indirect costs are incurred during the nonreproductive phase between two brooding cycles. This period is characterized by intense food intake by the females, combined with a high energy turnover as indicated by the oxygen consumption of feeding controls. Part of the food ingested contributes to maintenance, part to growth (Mrowka 1987), a further part to the production of a new egg batch in the ovary and, finally, a non-negligible part serves as energy storage for use during subsequent mouthbrooding, presumably in the form of lipids (Reinboth 1956). Only a quantitative assessment of the relative im-

A continuation
field measure
and Armada
americana in the
hollows,
argument
cess mere

Introduction

Wilson
in a se
Sex ra

References

Three is evidence that in cichlids mouthbrooding-
ing has evolved from substrate-brooding (Kreelley-
side 1979; Peters and Bernd 1982). Changes in the
allocation of energy expenditure to the different
stages of the reproductive cycle might have played
a significant selective role during the evolution of
this behaviour. It should also be noted that oxygen
levels during the dry season, as measured by the
author in April 1983 near Kisumu, Kenya.
The author found mouthbrooding females even in
waters with a temperature of 32°C, and an oxy-
gen content of 2 mg/l. Mouthbrooding seems to
be particularly suited to such extreme conditions.

Acknowledgments. We wish to thank Prof. Dr. Carl Hauen-
schmid and Dr. John McCarty. Special thanks to Susi.

References

Blaakster JHS (1969) Development: Eggs and larvae. In: Hoar WS, Randall DJ (eds) Fish physiology, vol III. Academic Press, New York, pp 177-252

Groves TDD (1979) Physiological energetics. In:

Portance of all four demands would allow calculation of the total costs of mouthbrooding. Such calculation is further complicated by the fact that part of the brood is consumed during mouthbrooding itself (Liebmam 1933; Welcomme 1967; Mrowka 1987) and thus may serve as a further energy source. However, this effect played no substantial role during the short measuring period.

In terms of energy metabolism mouthbrooding in *P. multicolor*, as in most mouthbrooding cichlids, is characterized by two extremely different periods in the life-history: (1) an *investment phase*, between two subsequent broodings cycles, characterized by high energy turnover and energy stor-
age, and (2) a *consumption phase* (mouthbrooding itself) characterized by low energy turnover capital-
izing on investments made previously. It would be interesting to compare this broodcarrying mode with alternative broodcarrying modes found in sub-
strate-brooding cichlids. Substrate-brooding seems to be associated with more direct costs because of enhanced locomotory activity due to broad de-
fence, running, and feeding (Fryer and Iles 1972; Keenleyside 1972).