

## **Bibliography (in order of reference):**

1. Zera S. Fink. *The Classical Republicans: An Essay in the Recovery of a Pattern of Thought in Seventeenth Century England* (1962).

This is a classic text on the subject.

2. J.G.A. Pocock. *The Machiavellian Moment* (1975).

Heavy enough to be classified a deadly weapon, this is pretty universally considered a major work in Machiavelli studies.

3. Blair Worden, "The Commonwealth Kidney of Algernon Sidney," *The Journal of British Studies*, 24, 1, 1985, pp.1-40.

JSTOR Search: "Algernon Sidney"

Although not entirely relevant to the lit review, this is a pretty good account of Sidney's life and thought.

4. J.G.A. Pocock. "Review: England's Cato: The Virtues and Fortunes of Algernon Sidney." *The Historical Journal*, 37, 4, 1994.

JSTOR SEARCH: "Algernon Sidney"

As always with Pocock, a smart piece of writing, although perhaps biased against Sidney's importance.

5. Jonathan Scott, *Algernon Sidney and the English Republic: 1623-1677* (1988).

Library Keyword search: "Algernon Sidney"; Reviewed by Pocock.

Decent biographical study, long overdue but by no means insurmountable.

6. Jean-Jacques Rousseau. *The Social Contract*.

One of the fundamental texts of republicanism.

7. Lionel McKenzie. "Rousseau's Debate with Machiavelli in the *Social Contract*" *Journal of the History of Ideas*, 43, 2, 1982, pp. 209-228.

JSTOR Search: "Rousseau AND Machiavelli"

Insightful and interesting, although it perhaps overreaches at times.

8. Barbara Sinberdick Feinberry. "Creativity and the Political Community: The Role of the Law-Giver in the Thought of Plato, Machiavelli and Rousseau." *Western Political Quarterly*, 23, 1970, pp. 471-84.

JSTOR Search: "Rousseau AND Machiavelli."

Unhelpful and occasionally bizarre.

9. Antonio Gramsci. "The Modern Prince" in *Selections from the Prison Notebooks* (1971).

This is probably the single most interesting left-wing encounter with Machiavelli. Cryptic, but well worth the time put into deciphering it.

10. Perry Anderson, "The Antinomies of Antonio Gramsci," *New Left Review*, 100, November-December 1976, pp.5-78.

Referenced in Fontana, but was already familiar with it.

Probably the standard account on the subject.

11. Perry Anderson. *Considerations on Western Marxism* (1976).

Again, the go-to source.

12. Joseph V. Femia, "Gramsci's Patrimony," *British Journal of Political Science*, 13, 3, 1983, pp. 327-364.

Found this in the big reference book on Machiavelli studies.

Somewhat interesting but not terribly helpful.

13. Benedetto Fontana. *Hegemony and Power: On the Relation between Gramsci and Machiavelli* (1993).

On Darius's bibliography for the class in Machiavelli.

Excellent textual study. More on Croce than I needed.

14. Sheldon Wolin, *Politics and Vision* (1960).

Referenced in Fontana.

The sections on Machiavelli are well worth the read. The others I didn't get to.

15. James Miller, "Merleau-Ponty's Marxism: Between Phenomenology and the Hegelian Absolute", *History and Theory*, 15, 2, 1976, pp. 109-132.

JSTOR Search: "Merleau-Ponty AND Machiavelli"

A really solid article that raises a lot of really interesting points that largely didn't pertain to the literature review.

16. Maurice Merleau-Ponty. "A Note on Machiavelli" in *Signs* (1964).

Read this in the Machiavelli class.

Provocative. I think his rereading of Marx through Machiavelli here has the potential to be one of the major post-war readings of Marx, if he had developed it.

17. Emmanuel Terray, “An Encounter: Althusser and Machiavelli” in *Postmodern Materialism and the future of Marxist Theory: essays in the Althusserian tradition* (1996), eds. Antonio Callari and David F. Ruccio.

Referenced in the introduction to *Machiavelli and Us*.

An account from one of Althusser’s students. Interesting and insightful, but not terribly so.

18. Louis Althusser. *Machiavelli and Us* (1999).

Referenced in Gopal Balakrishnan’s piece, “Future Unknown.”

A brief, yet profoundly insightful study. Primarily written by 1976, although later revised in the light of the theory of “aleatory materialism.” A fascinating lecture on “The Solitude of Machiavelli” is an appendix.

19. Wal Suchting. “Althusser’s Late Thinking about Materialism”, *Historical Materialism*, 12, 1, 2004, pp. 3-70.

Found this in a Worldwide Political Science Abstracts search on: “Althusser and Machiavelli.”

Really smart and clear piece that deals with immensely complex issues and obscure writings. Much more interesting than I conveyed in my literature review.

20. Antonio Negri. “Machiavel selon Althusser” in *Lire Althusser aujourd’hui, Futur Anterior* (1997), ed. Gabriel Albiac, pp. 139-157.

Referenced in the introduction to *Machiavelli and Us*.

A really solid piece, but didn’t give me much that I didn’t get elsewhere—although I’m sure I missed some of the nuances of the French.

21. Gregory Elliott, “Introduction: In the Mirror of Machiavelli” in *Machiavelli and Us*, pp. XI-XIX.

Thought-provoking and terse.

22. John Kraniuskas, “Empire, or Multitude: Transnational Negri”, *Radical Philosophy*, September-October 2000.

Came across this while searching Radical Philosophy website for another article on Negri that turned up in on a Worldwide Political Science Abstracts Search.

A firecracker of a review.

23. Marci Landry, "Gramsci beyond Gramsci: The Writings of Toni Negri", *boundary 2*, 21, 2, 1994, pp.63-97.

JSTOR Search: "Negri AND Machiavelli" and "Negri AND Gramsci"

Not terribly helpful. Lots of un insightful exegesis of Gramsci and Negri; little interesting analysis.

24. Antonio Negri, *Insurgencies* (1999).

If you get past the obscurantist language, a compelling (but not convincing) account. A variant on the single book Negri has been repetitively writing for the past decade plus. Published in Italian in 1992.

25. Gopal Balakrishnan and Stanley Aronowitz (eds.), *Debating Empire* (2003).

Really sharp collection of articles on *Empire*. The other book of articles on *Empire*, *Empire's New Clothes*, is of little interest other than a piece by Ernesto Laclau: "Can Immanence Explain Social Struggles?"

26. Gopal Balakrishnan, "Future Unknown: Machiavelli for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century", *New Left Review*, March-April 2005. This article started me on the train of thought that led to this qual. A good survey of the field and a quite thought-provoking discussion.